Assignee:

CFTV, Inc.

Attorney Docket No.: 38667.0100

Serial No.:

To Be Assigned

Group Art Unit:

To Be Assigned

Filed:

Herewith

Examiner:

To Be Assigned

TITLE:

DATA MARK AND RECALL SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR A

DATA STREAM

POWER OF ATTORNEY

CFTV, INC., who has the entire right, title, and interest in and to the above-captioned United States patent application and all inventions disclosed and claimed therein, hereby appoints as its attorneys to prosecute the above-captioned United States patent application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and with the resulting patent, individually and collectively:

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.

One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren

Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202

Tel.

(602) 382-6000

Fax

(602) 382-6070;

and the registered attorneys associated with Snell & Wilmer's Customer Number 020322.

Please send all further correspondence to Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. at the above address.

By: Arth Robert J Brainord Title: CTO, CFTV, Inc.

Date: 9/21/01

Page 1 of 1

Attorney Docket No.: 38667.0100

DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a design patent is sought on the invention entitled:

DATA MARK AND RECALL SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR A DATA STREAM

F	ion of which					
(check one)	X is attache was file Application Ser and was amende (if applicable)	ed on rial No.:		_as		
	•		and understand the d by any amendment			dentified
			formation which is roof Federal Regulation	4.	examinatio	n of this
foreign applicany foreign applicany	ation(s) for pater pplication for pa	nt or inventor's atent or inventor	fits under Title 35, certificate listed bel or's certificate havir	ow and have al	so identifie	ed below
application on	which priority is	s claimed:				
•	which priority is Application(s)	s claimed:			Priority (Claimed
•	•	s claimed: (Country)	(Day/Month/Yea	r Filed)	Priority C	Claimed No
(Number) I herel application(s) is not disclose of Title 35, U defined in Title	Application(s) by claim the bendered in the prior United States Colle 37, Code of F	(Country) nefit under Titl l, insofar as the nited States ap de §112, I acknederal Regulati	(Day/Month/Yea le 35, United States subject matter of ea plication in the man nowledge the duty to ons §1.56(a) which of international filing da	Code §120 of the claims are provided by disclose mate occurred between	Yes any Unite s of this ap the first p crial inform en the filin	No ed States plication paragraph nation as

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

60/253,316 November 27, 2000

Application Number Filing Date

60/250,103 November 28, 2000

Application Number Filing Date

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of				
First Inventor	Robert Brainard			
Inventor's Signature	poynt			-
Date 9/21/01	. /			
Residence 9187 E	Conquistadores	Dr.	Scottsdate, AZ	85755
Citizenship US	C			tion or an analysis of the second of the sec
Post Office Address	Same As Above			
Full Name of Second Inventor Day	e Køstelich	, (
Inventor's Signature	Vene X	1		
Date 19./0/		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Residence 5850 E	. Rathleen	RL.	Scottedale	A2 85254
Citizenship US		,		
Post Office Address	Same As Above			

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining

^{*}Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56:

under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.
 - A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.
- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.